# QUARTERLY PERFORMANCE REPORT 3rd QUARTER SFY 2018

January 1, 2018 – March 31, 2018



Produced for Arkansas Department of Human Services Division of Children and Family Services by Hornby Zeller Associates, Inc.

## **Table of Contents**

Reports of Child Maltreatment	1
Meeting Agency Policies	
Benefits to Children and Families	6
In-Home Services	7
Volume and Description of Cases	7
Meeting Agency Policies	8
Benefits to Children and Families	9
Foster Care	10
Volume and Description of Cases	
Meeting Agency Policies	
Benefits to Children and Families	15
	10
Adoption	16
Volume and Description of Cases	
Meeting Agency Policies	
Benefits to Children and Families	
Resources	19
Caseworkers and Caseloads	
Foster Homes	
Appendices	23
Appendix A: Breakdown of Child Maltreatment Reports	
Received During the Quarter and Substantiation	
Rates of Maltreatment Investigations	23
Appendix B: Summary of Reports Involving Child Fatality	24
Appendix C: Summary of Reports Involving Near Child Fatality	26
Appendix D: Summary of Reports Involving Fatalities of	
Children in Foster Care Who Did Not	
Receive a Maltreatment Investigation	27
Appendix E: Average Caseload by County	
Appendix F: Foster Home Closure Reasons	
Appendix G: Summary of Foster Care Maltreatment Reports	31

## **Reports of Child Maltreatment**

# **Quick Facts**

## **Volume and Description of Child Maltreatment Reports**



Of the 9,402 reports of child maltreatment accepted by the Arkansas Child Abuse Hotline during the quarter, 85 percent were assigned to DCFS and 15 percent were assigned to the Crimes Against Children Division (CACD) of the Arkansas State Police, which is responsible for investigating the most serious allegations of maltreatment.

Of the reports assigned to DCFS, 76 percent were assigned for an investigation and 24 percent were handled through Differential Response (DR).

DR, which was implemented statewide in August 2013, allows the Division to respond to specific, low-risk maltreatment reports through a family assessment and provision of services rather than a traditional investigation.

The following types of allegations can qualify for DR:

- Inadequate Supervision if children are at least five
- Environmental Neglect if children are at least three
- Medical Neglect if children are at least thirteen
- Lock Out if children are at least ten
- Inadequate Food, Inadequate Shelter, Inadequate Clothing, or Educational Neglect with no age restrictions
- Certain allegations of abuse where the incident occurred at least one year prior to the report date

## **Findings of Child Maltreatment Investigations**

Nineteen percent of the investigations assigned to DCFS during the quarter were found true, compared to 36 percent for CACD. The overall substantiation rate stood at 22 percent.

Appendix A provides further detail on the number of referrals accepted for investigation and those found true in the most recent quarter.



#### Percentage Of Investigations Found True

## **Characteristics of Children Involved in True Investigations**

There were 2,039 victim children involved in substantiated maltreatment investigations.

Of those children, 69 percent were white and 15 percent were black. Sixty-seven percent of the children involved in true maltreatment investigations were under ten years of age.

A majority of the victim children during the quarter (53 percent) were female.



## Types of Allegations in True Investigations

Neglect was the most commonly reported allegation among the 2,039 victim children, followed by allegations of physical and sexual abuse.

Percentage of Children in True Allegations of Maltreatment											
	Number of Children Cited in True Allegation	Percentage of Children Cited in True Allegation									
Neglect	1,294	64%									
Physical Abuse	593	29%									
Sexual Abuse	380	19%									
Abandonment	13	<1%									
Sexual Exploitation	8	<1%									

A child may have more than one allegation.

## **Reports Involving Fatalities or Near Fatalities**

Findings of Maltreatment Reports Involving Fatalities or Near Fatalities										
Finding	Child Fatality	Near Child Fatality								
True	1	0								
Unsubstantiated	2	0								
Pending	1	0								

During the quarter, there were four maltreatment investigations of child fatalities. Of the child fatality investigations, one was found true, two were unsubstantiated, and one is still pending. There were no investigations involving a near child fatality.

Appendix B includes more details regarding the fatality investigations.

### **Reports Assigned for Differential Response (DR)**

The number of reports assigned to DR during the quarter (1,909) increased by 14 percent when compared to the previous quarter.



### **Allegations Cited in DR Reports**

Educational neglect and environmental neglect were the most commonly cited allegations in reports assigned to DR.



## **Meeting Agency Policies**

## **Timeliness of Initiating Investigations**

The law requires reports of maltreatment to be initiated within 24 hours of receipt of a Priority I report or within 72 hours for a Priority II report.

Priority I reports cite more severe allegations while Priority Il reports cite comparatively less serious allegations.

Initiation occurs when all victim children are interviewed or observed (if too young for an interview) within the designated timeframes.

DCFS initiated 82 percent of its investigations on time during the third quarter.



#### **Timely Initiations of Child Maltreatment Assessments** (DCFS Only)

## **Timeliness of Completing Investigations**

DCFS completed 88 percent of its investigations on time (within 45 days of receipt of the report) during the quarter.



## **Timely Completion of Child Maltreatment Assessments**



## **Timeliness of Initiating Reports Assigned to DR**

DR referrals must be initiated within 72 hours of receipt of the report.

DCFS initiated 68 percent of its DR reports on time during the quarter, representing a seven percentage point increase in compliance from the previous quarter.

## **Timeliness of Completing Reports Assigned to DR**

Reports assigned to DR must be closed within 30 days of receipt of the report. In certain instances when the families' needs necessitate longer involvement, two 15-day extensions can be granted, for a total of 60 days.

The Agency closed 88 percent of its DR reports on time, taking into account reports in which extensions were granted.



#### Timeliness of DR Initiations

## **Benefits to Children and Families**

### **Preventing the Recurrence of Maltreatment**

Eight percent of the victim children involved in true investigations one year ago experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months. Six percent experienced a recurrence of maltreatment within six months.



The national standard for absence of recurrence within six months is 5.4 percent or less.

## Absence of Recurrence Among Families who Received DR

Five percent of the children who began receiving DR services in the third quarter of SFY 2017 were involved in a subsequent true investigation of child maltreatment within 12 months, and three percent were involved within six months.





## **In-Home Services**

## **Quick Facts**

## **Volume and Description of Cases**

#### Volume of Cases



In most instances, DCFS offers inhome services through a protective services case, which is opened when a true maltreatment report or court order necessitates DCFS' involvement with a family but there is not an immediate threat to any child's safety in the home.

The number of in-home protective services cases decreased by eleven percent during the quarter. At the end of the quarter, there were 2,396 protective services cases open across the state, involving 5,477 children.

The Division also offers in-home supportive services to families on a voluntary basis. DCFS was responsible for 129 supportive services cases at the end of the quarter.

## Length of Time Cases Have Been Open

The average length of time supportive services cases were open increased during the most recent quarter.

The average length of time inhome protective services cases stayed open was 145 days. Supportive services cases were open, on average, 174 days.



Average Number of Days Cases Have Been Open

#### In-Home Protective Services Cases Supportive Services Cases

## Ages of Children in In-Home Protective Services Cases

Children ages two to five made up the largest group of children involved in in-home protective services cases at the end of the quarter.

Ages of Children in In-Home Cases



## **Meeting Agency Policies**

### Monthly Visits to In-Home Protective Services Cases

On average, 69 percent of the inhome protective services cases received a monthly face-to-face visit from a caseworker during the quarter.

This represents a three percentage point increase in compliance from the previous quarter. The Division's Goal is 85 percent.

70% 45% 45% 45% 4th Qtr 1st Qtr 2nd Qtr 3rd Qtr

**Required Monthly Visits to In-Home Cases** 

## **Benefits to Children and Families**

### Victimization Rate Among Children Who Received Protective and Supportive Services



Over the last four quarters, the percentage of children involved in a protective services case who were maltreated within one year of service initiation has remained fairly constant.

The rate of subsequent maltreatment has fluctuated for children involved through an inhome supportive services case.

### Rate of Entry Into Foster Care Among Children Who Received Protective and Supportive Services



Percentage of Children Receiving Supportive or Protective Services who Entered Foster Care within One Year of the Initiation of Service

Of the children who began receiving supportive services during the third quarter one year ago, none entered foster care within one year of the initiation of those services.

Eight percent of the children who began receiving protective services during the same timeframe entered care within one year.

In-home services prevent the vast majority of children from being revictimized or entering foster care within one year.

## **Foster Care**

## **Volume and Description of Cases**

### Number of Children in Foster Care

There were 4,741 children in foster care at the end of the third quarter of SFY 2018. This signifies a three percent decrease from the previous quarter.



Number of Children in Foster Care at End of the Quarter

### **Characteristics of Children in Foster Care**

At the end of the quarter, children two to five years of age (24 percent) represented the largest group of children in care. Sixty-four percent of those in foster care were white and 18 percent were black.

Of the children in foster care at the end of the quarter, 51 percent were male and 49 percent were female.



Ages of Children in Foster Care at the End of the Quarter



## Admissions Into and Discharges From Foster Care

During the third quarter of SFY 2018, more children discharged foster care than entered.

Additionally, the number of children who entered care this quarter was the lowest within a year's time.



Number of Admissions Into and Discharges From Foster Care During Quarter

## Reasons for Children's Entry Into Foster Care

Reasons for Children's Entry Into Foster Care 3rd Quarter SFY 2018

Placement Reason	Number of Entries	Total
Substance Abuse	399 <sup>1</sup>	53%
Neglect	344	46%
Parent Incarceration	172	23%
Physical Abuse	74	10%
Inadequate Housing	73	10%
Sexual Abuse	51	7%
Caretaker Illness	32	4%
Abandonment	28	4%
Child's Behavior	24	3%
Truancy	18	2%
Court Ordered Foster Care in FINS Case	11	1%
Other	14	2%

Substance abuse and neglect were the most prevalent reasons for children entering foster care.

A child may have more than one reason for entry.

## **Reasons for Children's Discharge From Foster Care**

#### Reasons for Children's Discharge From Foster Care 3rd Quarter SFY 2018

Discharge Reason	Number of Discharges	Total
Reunification	359	43%
Adoption	232	28%
Relative Custody	158	19%
Child Aged Out	51	6%
Non-Custodial Parent	18	2%
Non-Relative Custody	12	1%
Other	3	<1%

<sup>2</sup>National data indicate that the three most prevalent reasons children leave care are reunification (51%), adoption (23%), and emancipation (8%).

Forty-three percent of the children who left foster care during the quarter were reunified with their own family while 28 percent were adopted and 19 percent were discharged to relative custody.

Arkansas (90 percent) exceeds the national average of 81 percent of the children discharged either going home, to a relative, or to an adoptive home.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These 399 cases of substance abuse include 347 instances of parental drug abuse, 42 instances of parental alcohol abuse, nine cases of drug abuse by children, and one case of alcohol abuse by a child.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> National data from the Children's Bureau (October 2017).

## Length of Stay in Foster Care

Of the children in foster care at the end of the quarter, 51 percent had been in care for 12 months or longer.

## Length of Stay in Foster Care for Children in Care 3rd Quarter SFY 2018

	Number	Percentage	National
Less than 30 days	215	5%	6%
1-3 Months	415	9%	23%
3-6 Months	618	13%	23%
6-12 Months	1,064	22%	20%
12-24 Months	1,358	29%	25%
24-36 Months	496	10%	12%
36+ Months	575	12%	15%
Total	4,741	100%	100%

## Placements and Permanency Goals of Children in Care

Thirty-five percent of the children in foster care at the end of the quarter resided in foster family homes.

Overall, 77 percent of the children resided in a family-like setting (foster family home, relative placement, trial home visit, therapeutic foster home, pre-adoptive home) at the end of the quarter. The Division's Goal is 85 percent.

Fifty-five percent of the children in care at the end of the quarter had a permanency goal of reunification (return home), and 27 percent had a goal of adoption.

This is similar to national data from the Children's Bureau as of October 2017, with rates of 55 percent for reunification and 26 percent for adoption.



Permanency Goals of Children in Foster Care at the End of the Quarter





Foster Children who Received Monthly Face-to-Face

Foster Children who Received Monthly Face-to-Face Visits by Any DCFS Staff



## **Quick Facts**

## **Meeting Agency Policies**

### Monthly Visits to Foster Children

Eighty percent of the foster children statewide received a monthly face-to-face visit from a DCFS caseworker, on average, during the quarter.

To ensure their safety and wellbeing, best practice requires foster children to be visited at their placement by their caseworker monthly (measured in this chart). The Division's Goal is 85 percent.

Ninety-one percent of the foster children received a monthly face-to-face contact from any DCFS staff member, regardless of the staff member's position, location of the visit, or the purpose.

### **Initial Health Screenings and Comprehensive Health Assessments**



When a child enters foster care due to an allegation of severe maltreatment, DCFS must conduct an Initial Health Screening (IHS) within 24 hours. All other incoming foster children require health screenings within 72 hours. Children must also receive a Comprehensive Health Assessment (CHA) within 60 days of entering foster care.

### Percentage of Siblings in Placement Who Are Placed Together

At the end of the quarter, 81 percent of the children with siblings in foster care were placed with at least one of their siblings, while 61 percent were placed with all of their siblings.

These figures do not exclude children who were placed separately for valid reasons such as safety issues or court orders.

### Placement Stability of Children in Foster Care

Placement stability is measured by the percentage of children with two or fewer placements who are in care for varying lengths of time.

Of the children in care for less than 12 months, 77 percent experienced two or fewer placements; the national standard is 86 percent. Of the children in foster care between 12 and 24 months, 53 percent had two or fewer placements; the national standard for this group is 65.4 percent. Finally, of the children in care for over two years, only 20 percent experienced two or fewer placements, with the national standard being 41.8 percent.

Regardless of the length of time in foster care, 2,012 of the children in care at the end of the quarter had experienced three or more placements during their time in foster care. Children six to 11 represent the largest group of children who experienced such volume of placement changes.

Children in Foster Care who have Experienced Two or Fewer Placements



#### Ages of Children who Experienced Three or More Placements



100% 75% 50% 25% 0% 4th Qtr 1st Qtr 2nd Qtr 3rd Qtr

Siblings in Care who are Placed Together

## **Benefits to Children and Families**

## Children in Foster Care Who Return Home Within Twelve Months



Arkansas continues to exceed the national standard of 48.4 percent or more for returning children to their homes within 12 months of removal.

## Preventing Children's Re-entry Into Foster Care

The rate at which children reentered foster care within 12 months of discharge increased during the quarter. The re-entry rate for the third quarter of SFY 2018 is below the national standard (9.9 percent).



## Re-entries Into Foster Care

## **Adoption**

## **Volume and Description of Cases**

## Children Available for Adoption<sup>3</sup>

DCFS modified its definition of children available for adoption for the second quarter of SFY 2018 to more accurately identify the number of children who are truly in need of an adoptive family.

At the end of the third quarter, 466 children were available for adoption.

DCFS estimates that it has identified a possible pre-adoptive placement for approximately 20 percent of the children available for adoption.

#### Number of Children Available for Adoption at the End of the Quarter



## Characteristics of Children Available for Adoption

Of the available children, 62 percent were white and 18 percent were black. Over half of the children available for adoption were between the ages of six and 13 years old.



<sup>3</sup> Children available for adoption are defined as those who (1) have a termination of parental rights on both parents, (2) have a permanency goal of adoption, and (3) are not placed with relative, fictive kin, or a pre-adoptive family.

## Placement of Children Available for Adoption

Fifty-eight percent of the children available for adoption at the end of the quarter resided in either a foster family home or a therapeutic foster home.



### Length of Time to Adoption

Arkansas' median length of time from entry into foster care until adoption finalization was 23.8 months during the most recent quarter, three and half months quicker than the national standard of 27.3 months.



Placement of Children Available for Adoption

at the End of the Quarter



## **Benefits to Children and Families**

### **Finalized Adoptions**

Two hundred and thirty-three adoptions were finalized during the third quarter of SFY 2018.

Thirty-eight percent of the finalized adoptions during the quarter were by relatives.

Children ages two to five were the largest group among those adopted during the quarter.

## **Subsidized Adoptions**

Subsidies are given to children with special needs, defined as:

- Caucasian and over nine
- Healthy child of color and at least two years of age
- Member of any sibling group placed together, sharing at least one biological parent, who develop a bond
- Children who have severe medical or psychological needs that require ongoing treatment
- Children at high risk for the development of serious physical, mental, or emotional conditions documented by a medical professional

#### Number of Adoptions Finalized During the Quarter



## Race/Ethnicity of Children with Finalized Adoptions



#### Ages of Children with Finalized Adoption



During the third quarter, **216** children began receiving adoption subsidies. Of those, 214 were federally-funded and two were state funded subsidies.

During the quarter, a total of 6,405 children received adoption subsidies.



## Resources

#### Investigations 2.600 **Differential Response** 310 Foster Care 4,741 In-Home 2,396 \*\*\*\*\*\* Involving 129 Supportive Services 5,477 Children ICPC 67 0 1,000 2.000 3,000 4.000 5.000 6.000

Types of Cases at the End of the Quarter

One foster care case is equal to one child in care; one in-home or supportive services case constitutes an entire family, many of which have multiple children.

## **Quick Facts**

## Caseworkers and Caseloads

## Caseloads at the End of the Quarter

At the end of the quarter, the **Division was responsible for:** 

- 2,600 investigations of child maltreatment and 310 DR cases;
- 4,741 foster care cases;
- 2,396 in-home protective services cases, involving 5,477 children;
- 129 supportive services cases and 67 interstate compact for the placement of children (ICPC) cases.

This is while the Division was staffed with 444 family service workers, who were responsible for handling these cases.

#### Investigations 8,318 **Differential Response** 2.172 Foster Care 5,579 2,743 In-Home Involving 6,961 Children 229 Supportive Services **ICPC** 94 8,000

4,000

2,000

0

10,000

This figure illustrates the number of cases that cycled through the system anytime during the quarter (as opposed to those open at the end of the quarter, described above).

Caseloads Handled During the Quarter

The Division oversaw 8,318 investigations, 5,579 children in foster care, 2,743 in-home protective services cases, 2,172 DR cases, 229 supportive services cases, and 94 ICPC cases.

Arkansas Division of Children and Family Services 19

6,000

### Types of Cases Served During the Quarter

## **Average Caseload**

The average caseload statewide stood at 22 cases per worker at the end of the quarter. This average is greater than the Division's goal of 20 or fewer cases per worker.

The average caseload for each county as of March 2018 is presented in Appendix E.

### **Foster Homes**

#### **Foster Homes and Beds**

There were 1,735 licensed foster family homes open statewide at the end of the second quarter, providing a total of 3,918 available beds.

The number of available beds decreased from the previous quarter.

During the most recent quarter, 204 new foster homes were opened and 267 were closed, for a net loss of sixty-three homes.

Appendix F displays the closure reasons for the foster homes that closed during the quarter.



Average Caseload at the End of Month



Number of Foster Homes and Beds at the End of Quarter







## **Ratio of Foster Home Beds to Foster Children**

The ratio of licensed foster home beds to children in foster care was less than one (0.83) at the end of the quarter, even though consistent improvement has been observed during the last four quarters.

The Division's goal is to have at least one foster home bed available for each child in foster care.

### Percentage of Foster Families with True Reports of Maltreatment



Two reports in which foster parents were identified as alleged offenders were determined to be true during the quarter. Based on the 1,997 foster homes active during that period, the percentage of foster families with a true report of maltreatment was 0.10 percent.

Appendix G provides more detail on maltreatment reports concerning foster homes.

Appendix A: Breakdown of Child Maltreatment Reports Received During the Quarter and Substantiation Rates of Maltreatment Investigations<sup>4</sup>

		DCF	5		CACD					
	Total Assessments Assigned for DR	Total Assessments Assigned for Investigation	True Investigations	Percent True	Total Assessments Assigned for Investigation	True Investigations	Percent True			
Fourth Quarter 2017	1,630	5,946 5,938 Closed	1,196	20%	1,488 1,340 Closed	508	38%			
First Quarter 2018	1,058	5,407 5,396 Closed	1,297	24%	1,472 1,358 Closed	485	36%			
Second Quarter 2018	1,545	6,429 6,382 Closed	1,361	21%	1,571 1,369 Closed	432	32%			
Third Quarter 2018	1,642	5,692 5,684 Closed	1,078	19%	1,277 1,203 Closed	430	36%			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The data cited in this appendix run two months behind the referenced quarter (*e.g.*, the second quarter refers to 11/1/2017 through 1/31/2018); as a result, the numbers cited in this appendix differ from those cited throughout the report which considers the actual quarter. This is because investigations have a 45-day completion timeframe and this allows enough time to pass to measure the disposition of the investigations. An investigation is excluded from the calculation of the substantiation rate in the event that it has not yet been closed (and thus a disposition has not yet been rendered).

## Appendix B: Summary of Reports Involving Child Fatality

## **True Fatality Reports**

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
Kylah Woodard	Sebastian	1	Multi- Ethnic	Female	1/30/2018	Abuse	In-Home	Unknown	CACD	None	None	None

### **Unsubstantiated Fatality Reports**

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
Juanita Ausbrooks	Cleburne	<1	White	Female	1/12/2018	Neglect	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None
Alexander Swanson	Pulaski	7	Black	Male	2/19/2018	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None

## Pending Fatality Reports

Child	County	Age	Race	Gender	Date of Death	Allegations or Preliminary Cause of Death	Placement at the Time of Incident	Relationship of Alleged Offender to the Child	Agency Conducting the Investigation	Legal Action By the Department	Services Provided Prior to the Incident	Services Provided After the Incident
Kylie Grant	Crittenden	3	Black	Female	2/19/2018	Inadequate Supervision	In-Home	Family Member	CACD	None	None	None

### Appendix C: Summary of Reports Involving Near Child Fatality

### **True Near Fatality Reports**

There were no true near child fatality reports during the third quarter of SFY 2018.

### **Unsubstantiated Near Fatality Reports**

There were no unsubstantiated near child fatality reports during the third quarter of SFY 2018.

### **Pending Near Fatality Reports**

There were no pending near child fatality reports during the third quarter of SFY 2018.

### Appendix D: Fatalities of Children in Foster Care Who Did Not Receive a Maltreatment Investigation

There were no fatalities of children in foster care who did not receive a maltreatment investigation during the third quarter of SFY 2018.

### Appendix E: Average Caseload as of March 31, 2018, by County



Due to the fact that Prairie County did not have any family service workers at the end of the quarter, their cases were assigned to workers from other counties.

## Appendix F: Foster Home Closure Reasons

I Sentor (Bernonle)         9         1         6         0         2         0           1 Consol (Revented)         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           1 Weshingon (Feynteolie)         15         1         0         1         2         0         0           2 Interview (Carks with)         6         0         0         0         0         0         0           2 Johnson (Carks with)         0	Area	County	Total	Change in Family Circumstances	Foster Family's Decision	No Longer Meets Requirements	Adopted/Custody/Guardians hip/Relative Only	Other	Founded Abuse/Neglect	Provider/Services No Longer Needed
1         Carrol (Beryatile)         3         0         0         0           1         Madain (Van Burn)         1         0         1         2         0           2         Crawder (Van Burn)         6         0         0         0         0           2         Crawder (Van Burn)         6         0         0         0         0           2         Crawder (Van Burn)         0         0         0         0         0           2         Logan (Pans)         1         0         1         0         0         0           2         Logan (Pans)         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           2         Sectif (Vadarch)         1         0         1         0         0         0         0         0           3         Clark (Akaadphin)         3         1         0         1         0 <td>1</td> <td>Benton (Bentonville)</td> <td>9</td> <td>1</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td>	1	Benton (Bentonville)	9	1	6	0	2	0	0	0
I Madson (Huntsville)         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           2 Cawlord (Van Burn)         6         0         4         0         0         0         0           2 Fronkin (Cash solin)         1         0				0	3	0		0	0	0
1       Washington (Figurational)       15       1       0       1       0       0       0       0         2       Franklin (Czask)       1       0			1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
2 Candid (Van Buren)         6         0         4         0         0         0         0           2 Iphrane (Clarkshille)         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           2 Logan (Bornelle)         1         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           2 Logan (Rosenelle)         1         0	1	Washington (Fayetteville)	15	1	9	1	2	0	0	2
2         Pirakin (Ozark)         1         0         1         0         0         0           2         Jubrason (Ozarks)         1         0         0         0         0         0         0           2         Logan (Boanewille)         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           2         Logan (Ports)         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           2         Sott (Valoro)         2         0         1         0         0         0         0         0           3         Clark (Aradelphin)         3         1         0         1         0         <				0	4	0	0	0	0	2
2         Logn (Carls sulle)         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           2         Logn (Parts)         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           2         Logn (Parts)         1         0         1         0         0         0         0           2         Setesticin, Fort Smith)         10         1         0         0         0         0         0           2         Setesticin, Fort Smith)         10         1         0         1         0				0	1	0	0	0	0	0
2 Logan (Bonewle)         1         0         0         0         0           2 Sott (Waldron)         2         0         1         0         0         0           2 Sott (Waldron)         10         1         0         1         2         0         0           2 Sott (Waldron)         10         1         0         0         0         0           3 Clark (Arkade)ria)         3         1         0         1         0         0           3 Clark (Arkade)ria)         3         1         0         1         0         0           3 Clark (Arkade)ria)         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           3 Horard (Hot Springs)         2         0         1         0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2         Dogan (Paris)         1         0         1         0         0         0           2         Scott (Valdor)         2         0         1         0         0         0           2         Statisk (Fort Smith)         10         1         0         0         0         0           3         Clark (Aradephria)         3         1         0         1         1         0         0           3         Clark (Aradephria)         2         0         1         0         0         0         0           3         Hot Spring (Mahren)         1         0			1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
2       0       1       0       1       0       0         2       Sebastian (Fot Smith)       10       1       0       0       0       0         2       Yeit (Darnile)       1       0       0       0       0       0       0         3       Clark (Akadelphin)       3       1       0       1       1       0       0       0         3       Glardan (Hot Springs)       2       0       1       0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td></t<>			1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
2 Sebastian (For Smith)       10       1       0       1       0       0       0         2 Yell (Darwile)       1       0       0       0       0       0       0         3 Clark (Arkadephia)       3       1       0       1       1       0       0       0         3 Hot Sping (Makern)       1       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         3 Hot Sping (Makern)       1       0			2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
2 Yell (Darwile)         1         0         0         0         0         0           3 Clark (Arkadelphin)         3         1         0         1         0         0           3 Horard (Hot Spring)         2         0         1         0         0         0           3 Horard (Nashville)         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           3 Horard (Nashville)         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           3 Perry (Perrylile)         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0         0           3 Paris (Marna)         4         2         1         0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>10</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>6</td></t<>			10	1	0	1	2	0	0	6
3       1       0       1       1       0       0         3       Garland (Hot Springs)       2       0       1       0       0       0         3       Hot Springs)       1       0       0       0       0       0         3       Hot Springs       0       0       0       0       0       0         3       Hot Springs       0       0       0       0       0       0         3       Mort Springs       1       0       0       0       0       0         3       Poilt, (Mena)       4       2       1       0       0       0         3       Saline (Gerton)       14       0       12       1       1       0       0         4       Columbia (Magnolia)       2       0       2       0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td>				0	0	0	0	0	0	1
3 Garland (Hot Spring)       2       0       1       0       1       0       0         8 Hox T(Rashulle)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         3 Howard (Rashulle)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         3 Montgorney (Mount Ida)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         3 Perry (PerryIlle)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         3 Saline (Benton)       14       0       12       1       1       0       0         4 Columbia (Magnola)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0       0         4 Latsystem (Lewisville)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4 Miler (Texarkana)       3       0       1       1       1       0       0         4 Sevier (BeCueeri)       1       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4 Miler (Texarkana)       3       0       1       2       0       0       0       0         5 Baxter (Mountain Home)			3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
3       Hot Spring (Malem)       1       0       0       0       0       0         3       Hoxad (Mashile)       0       0       0       0       0       0         3       Montgomery (Mount Ida)       0       0       0       0       0       0         3       Perry (Perryvile)       0       0       0       0       0       0         3       Paix (Murresboro)       1       0       0       0       0       0         3       Saline (Benton)       4       2       1       0       0       0       0         4       Calumbia (Magnolia)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Ledirytet (Lewisville)       0				0	1	0	1	0	0	0
3       Howard (Nashle)       0				0	0	0	0	0	0	1
S Montgomery (Mount Ida)         0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td>0</td>			0	0	0	0		0		0
S       Perry (Perryvilie)       0						0	0	0	0	0
3       Pike (Murfreesboro)       1       0       0       1       0       0         3       Saline (Benton)       14       0       12       1       1       0       0         4       Columbia (Magnolia)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0         4       Hempstead (Hope)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Labyette (Lewiskile)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Miller (Texarkana)       3       0       1       1       1       0       0         4       Needda (Prescott)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Needda (Prescott)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Sever (Mourtian Horne)       4       0       2       1       0       0       0         5       Baxter (Mourtian Horne)       4       0       2       1       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0					-	-	0	-	-	0
3       Polk (Mena)       4       2       1       0       0       0         3       Saline (Benton)       14       0       12       1       1       0       0         4       Columbia (Magnolia)       2       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Hempstead (Hope)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Little River (Ashdown)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Miller (Texarkana)       3       0       1       1       1       0       0       0         4       Newada (Prescut)       0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td>					-	-	-	-	-	0
3 Saline (Benton)       14       0       12       1       1       0       0         4 Columbia (Magnolia)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0         4 Henpstead (Hope)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4 Lafayette (Lewisville)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4 Miler (Foarkana)       3       0       1       1       1       0       0         4 Meada (Prescott)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4 Dianti (Ganden)       3       0       2       1       0       0       0       0         4 Evider (PoQueen)       1       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         5 Baxter (Mountain Home)       4       0       2       1       0					-	-		-		1
4       Columbia (Magnolia)       2       0       0       0       0         4       Hernpstead (Hope)       0       0       0       0       0         4       Lafayette (Lewisville)       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Little River (Ashdown)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Miller (Texarkana)       3       0       1       1       1       0       0         4       Newada (Prescott)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Geueri (DeClueen)       1       0       0       0       0       0       0         5       Baxter (Mountain Home)       4       0       2       1       0       0       0         5       Boone (Harrison)       2       0       0       0       0       0       0         5       Bouney (Moniton)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         5       Baxter (Monsalin Home)       3       0       3       0       0       0       0       0						1	1	-		0
4       Hempstead (Hope)       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Latayette (LewisNille)       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Little Kirer (Ashdown)       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Miller (Texarkana)       3       0       1       1       1       0       0         4       Nexada (Prescott)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4       Ouachita (Camden)       3       0       2       1       0       0       0         4       Ouachita (Camden)       3       0       2       1       0       0       0         4       Union (El Dorado)       5       0       1       2       0       0       0         5       Baxter (Mountain Home)       4       0       2       1       0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td>						0	0	-	-	0
4         Lafayette (Lewisville)         0						-	Ţ	-	-	0
4         Little River (Ashdown)         0						-	-	-	-	0
4 Miller (Texarkana)       3       0       1       1       1       0       0         4 Nevada (Prescott)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         4 Ouachita (Camden)       3       0       2       1       0       0       0         4 Sevier (DeQueen)       1       0       0       0       1       0       0         4 Union (El Dorado)       5       0       1       2       2       0       0         5 Baxter (Mountain Home)       4       0       2       1       0       0       0         5 Boone (Harrison)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0       0         5 Faulkner (Conway)       12       1       8       1       2       0       0         5 Newton (Jasper)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         5 Newton (Jasper)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         6 Valaski (East)       4       1       2       1       0       0       0         6 Pulaski (Southokest)       4       1					-	-	-	-		0
4 Nevada (Prescott)       0       0       0       0       0       0         4 Quachta (Canden)       3       0       2       1       0       0       0         4 Sevier (DeQueen)       1       0       0       1       0       0       0         4 Union (El Dorado)       5       0       1       2       2       0       0         5 Boore (Harrison)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0         5 Faulkner (Conway)       12       1       8       1       2       0       0         5 Faulkner (Conway)       12       1       8       1       2       0       0         5 Newton (Jasper)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         5 Searcy (Marshall)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         6 Pulaski (Lesst)       4       1       2       1       0       0       0       0         6 Pulaski (Acsonville)       12       0       8       2       1       0       0       0         6 Pulaski (Kouth)       9       0       1				-		1	1	-	-	0
4       Ouachita (Camden)       3       0       2       1       0       0       0         4       Sevier (DeQueen)       1       0       0       0       1       0       0         4       Union (El Dorado)       5       0       1       2       2       0       0       0         5       Boore (Harrison)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0       0         5       Boore (Harrison)       2       0       2       0						0	0	-	-	0
4         Sevier (DeQueen)         1         0         0         1         0         0           4         Union (El Dorado)         5         0         1         2         2         0         0           5         Baxter (Mountain Home)         4         0         2         1         0         0         0           5         Boone (Harrison)         2         0         2         0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0</td></td<>					-		-	-	-	0
4       Union (El Dorado)       5       0       1       2       2       0       0         5       Baxter (Mountain Home)       4       0       2       1       0       0       0         5       Boone (Harrison)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0       0         5       Conway (Morriton)       0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0</td>						0	-	-	-	0
5       Baxter (Mountain Home)       4       0       2       1       0       0       0         5       Boone (Harrison)       2       0       2       0       0       0       0       0         5       Conway (Moritton)       0 <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>0</td>			-		-	-		-		0
5       Boone (Harrison)       2       0       2       0       0       0         5       Conway (Morrilton)       0       0       0       0       0       0         5       Faulkner (Conway)       12       1       8       1       2       0       0         5       Marion (Yellville)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         5       Newton (Jasper)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         5       Pope (Russellwile)       3       0       3       0       0       0       0       0         5       Searcy (Marshall)       0 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td>								-	-	1
S         Conway (Morriton)         0							-	-	-	0
S         Faulkner (Conway)         12         1         8         1         2         0         0           5         Marion (Yellville)         0						-	Ţ	-	-	0
5         Marion (Vellville)         0				1	-	1	-	-	-	0
5         Newton (Jasper)         0				0		0		-		0
5         Pope (Russellville)         3         0         3         0			-		-		-	-	-	0
Searcy (Marshall)         0				-	-	-	-	-	-	0
5       Van Buren (Clinton)       1       0       0       0       0       0         6       Pulaski (East)       4       1       2       1       0       0       0         6       Pulaski (Jacksonville)       12       0       8       2       1       0       0         6       Pulaski (Jacksonville)       13       2       8       1       2       0       0         6       Pulaski (South)       9       0       6       1       1       1       0         6       Pulaski (Southwest)       4       0       3       1       0       0       0         7       Bradley (Warren)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Calhoun (Hampton)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Dallas (Fordyce)       0				-	-	-	-	-	-	0
6         Pulaski (East)         4         1         2         1         0         0         0           6         Pulaski (Jacksonville)         12         0         8         2         1         0         0         0           6         Pulaski (North)         13         2         8         1         2         0         0         0           6         Pulaski (South)         9         0         6         1         1         1         0         0           6         Pulaski (South)         9         0         6         1         1         1         0         0           6         Pulaski (Southwest)         4         0         3         1         0										0
6         Pulaski (Jacksonville)         12         0         8         2         1         0         0           6         Pulaski (North)         13         2         8         1         2         0         0           6         Pulaski (South)         9         0         6         1         1         1         0           6         Pulaski (Southwest)         4         0         3         1         0         0         0           7         Bradley (Warren)         0					-	-		-	-	0
6         Pulaski (North)         13         2         8         1         2         0         0           6         Pulaski (South)         9         0         6         1         1         1         0           6         Pulaski (Southwest)         4         0         3         1         0         0         0           7         Bradley (Warren)         0 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td>						-				1
6       Pulaski (South)       9       0       6       1       1       1       0         6       Pulaski (Southwest)       4       0       3       1       0       0       0         7       Bradley (Warren)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Calhoun (Hampton)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Cleveland (Rison)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Dallas (Fordyce)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Grant (Sheridan)       1       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Jefferson (Pine Bluff)       4       1       0       1       0       0       0       0         7       Lincoln (Star City)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Lonoke (Lonoke)       8       0       5       1       1       0       0										0
6         Pulaski (Southwest)         4         0         3         1         0         0         0           7         Bradley (Warren)         0										0
7       Bradley (Warren)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Calhoun (Hampton)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Calhoun (Hampton)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Cleveland (Rison)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Dallas (Fordyce)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Grant (Sheridan)       1       0       0       0       1       0       0         7       Jefferson (Pine Bluff)       4       1       0       1       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Lincoln (Star City)       0 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></td<>										0
7       Calhoun (Hampton)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Cleveland (Rison)       0										0
7       Cleveland (Rison)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Dallas (Fordyce)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Dallas (Fordyce)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Grant (Sheridan)       1       0       0       0       0       1       0         7       Jefferson (Pine Bluff)       4       1       0       1       0       0         7       Lincoln (Star City)       0       0       0       0       0       0       0         7       Lonoke (Lonoke)       8       0       5       1       1       0       0										0
7         Dallas (Fordyce)         0										0
7         Grant (Sheridan)         1         0         0         0         1         0           7         Jefferson (Pine Bluff)         4         1         0         1         0         1         0           7         Jefferson (Pine Bluff)         4         1         0         1         0         1         0           7         Lincoln (Star City)         0										0
7         Jefferson (Pine Bluff)         4         1         0         1         0         1         0           7         Lincoln (Star City)         0										0
7         Lincoln (Star City)         0				1						1
7 Lonoke (Lonoke) 8 0 5 1 1 0 0				1		-				
							0			0
7 Prairie (DeValls Bluff) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		Prairie (DeValls Bluff)	8				0	0		0

Area	County	Total	Change in Family Circumstances	Foster Family's Decision	No Longer Meets Requirements	Adopted/Custody/Guardians hip/Relative Only	Other	Founded Abuse/Neglect	Provider/Services No Longer Needed
	Clay (Piggott & Corning)	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
8	Craighead (Jonesboro)	18	2	11	1	4	0	0	0
8	Fulton (Salem)	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
8	Greene (Paragould)	8	1	3	1	0	0	0	3
	Izard (Melbourne)	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
8	Lawrence (Walnut Ridge)	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
8	Mississippi (Blytheville)	8	1	2	0	3	0	0	2
8	Mississippi (Osceola)	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
8	Randolph (Pocahontas)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
8	Sharp (Ash Flat)	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
9	Cleburne (Heber Springs)	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
9	Crittenden (West Memphis)	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
9	Cross (Wynne)	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
9	Independence (Batesville)	3	0	1	0	2	0	0	0
9	Jackson (Newport)	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	4
9	Poinsett (Harrisburg)	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
9	Stone (Mountain View)	5	0	3	0	1	0	0	1
9	White (Searcy)	6	0	5	1	0	0	0	0
9	Woodruff (Augusta)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
10	Arkansas (Dewitt)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Arkansas (Stuttgart)	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
10	Ashley (Hamburg)	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
10	Chicot (Lake Village)	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
10	Desha (McGehee)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
10	Drew (Monticello)	5	0	1	2	2	0	0	0
10	Lee (Marianna)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Monroe (Brinkley)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Monroe (Clarendon)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Phillips (Helena)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	St. Francis (Forrest City)	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
99	Out of State	11	0	6	1	3	0	0	1
	Statewide Total	267	15	139	25	52	3	0	33

Details of the Major Categories Above (Statewide)				
Reason	Specific Reason	Number of Homes Closed		
Adopted/Custody/Guardianship/Relative Only	Child(ren) Left Care	25		
	Custody/Guardianship	27		
Change in Family Circumstances	Death of Family Member	1		
	Death of Parent	1		
	Moved	6		
	Personal Issues	4		
	Pregnant	3		
Foster Family's Decision	Changed to Adopt Only	57		
	Provider Request	81		
	Taking a Break	1		
No Longer Meets Requirements	DHS Request	21		
	Failed Re-evaluation/Non-Compliance	2		
	Not taking Children when Contacted	2		
Other	Contract Ended	2		
	Duplicate	1		
Provider/Services No Longer Needed	Provider/Services No Longer Needed	33		

### Appendix G: Summary of Foster Care Maltreatment Reports

#### Characteristics of Children in Foster Care Involved in Allegations of Maltreatment, 1st Quarter SFY 2018

One hundred and twenty-three reports of alleged maltreatment, involving 146 children in foster care, were received by the hotline during the third quarter of SFY 2018.

In 37 reports involving 49 children, foster parents<sup>5</sup> were identified as the alleged offenders. As shown in the following chart, two of those reports were found to be true and one home was subsequently closed.

Foster Home Maltreatment Reports 3rd Quarter SFY 2018

True	Unsubstantiated	Pending
2	32	0

All allegations of maltreatment concerning foster homes are investigated by the Crimes Against Children Division (CACD) of the Arkansas State Police. DCFS staff do not actively participate in the investigation of these reports. Allegations of maltreatment regarding foster homes require the agency to assess the safety of all children residing in the home.

The following charts describe the characteristics of the 49 foster children involved in allegations of maltreatment with foster parents as the alleged offenders.



Ages

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This includes foster family homes and therapeutic foster homes



### County of Foster Homes in Which Alleged Maltreatment Occurred

County	Number of Children Involved in Maltreatment Report	County	Number of Children Involved in Maltreatment Report
Benton	7	Clark	1
Sebastian	7	Garland	1
Pulaski	6	Grant	1
Craighead	5	Greene	1
Perry	3	Izard	1
Washington	3	Jefferson	1
Faulkner	2	Johnson	1
Роре	2	Logan	1
White	2	Polk	1
Arkansas	1	Sevier	1
Boone	1		